

**WHETHER THE DEFINITION OF “SEX” AND “WOMAN” BY THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED KINGDOM AND PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP EXECUTIVE ORDER 14156 OF 2025 ON TRANSGENDER ISSUE ARE IN TANDEM WITH THE LAW?**

**A DISCUSSION POINT**

BY

~ ~ CYPRIAN F. EDWARD-EKPO ~ ~

Director-General, Institute of Law, Research & Development of United Nations (ILAWDUN), Washington D.C, USA & Director, Universal School of Eclectic Analysis, Legal Research & Law Studies (UNISERL), Suffolk, United Kingdom; Registrar, International Institute for Humanitarian and Environmental Law (ISHERL), Abuja, Nigeria; QHE-PHU's Distinguished Professor of International Environmental Law, Newark, Delaware, USA, & SIA's Professor of Public International Law (h.c), London, UK; Managing Partner & Dean of Research, Multi-Intelligence Development Company; Board Chair, Roseline Edward-Ekpo Foundation for Promotion of Health in Africa (ROSEFUNPA).

[ April 24, 2025 ]

As you may know, on April 16, 2025, the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom in its unanimous judgment rendered a decision defining the natural concept of the words “woman” and “sex” as referring to a “biological woman” and “biological sex” in the UK Equality Act of 2010. That is to say, the U.K Supreme Court has deviated from the previously held Western delusional perspective on the LGBTQ+, just as the United States under President Donald J. Trump has changed its previously perspective on LGBTQ +, within judicial department, and this is a welcome idea.

In my view, that this was even made a subject of debate in a judicial proceeding speaks volumes of the deplorable level of degeneration the vibration of this contemporary world has been.

The question of LGBTQ and its misconception as human rights has been the most delusional expansion of human rights of our time. The mass

Western cultural delusion that a child of four years can decide to change his or her gender is a great degeneration of thought, beyond any reasonable mentality.

Subjecting a child to chemical injection to alter the body chemistry to suit the so-called gender they want to identify with is nothing less than a mental health crisis on a vicious scale.

The asserted right of LGBTQ and its misconception as human rights violates the provision of Article 16 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948), and it is antithetical to the very essence of human rights in that all human rights have their origin from the law of nature.

Beyond the context of positive law, the law of nature or natural rights law testifies to the universal ecosystem, and it is so universal, fundamental and inalienable. They cannot be repealed by human laws. Though one can forfeit their enjoyment through choice only when nature places him or her in a position where a choice must be made. That is to say, if a person is born as

intersex, in older terminology, “hermaphroditic”. Such is a situation of accidental variation in anatomy, chromosomes, or hormone imbalance production that does not fit into the typical binary notions of male or female; as a result of exposure to external factors during fetal development. Such external factors can disrupt the normal processes of sexual differentiation, leading to variations in sex characteristics or Disorder of Sex Development (DSD). This is the only exceptional circumstance where an individual should have the right to correct the biological abnormalities at birth, to fit the gender they need. Other than such abnormal condition, no one has the right to alter nature.

Nature is made of duality – the positive and negative polarities, which produce the third dimension – a balance of nature.

A man is born to become a living soul and not merely a soul-activated physical body. But from a physical body to a spiritual being, in his process of period-by-period evolvments, he

expresses the concept of nature first in the physical being. They are male and female created by nature of duality.

The universal answer given to this question is that humans are men and women. No converting ideology can beat this fact. And since human rights are embryonic of natural right to positive law development, therefore, the call to obligation to stop the delusion of LGBTQ or a rejection of it by all nations cannot be over-emphasized.

I am in agreement with the UK Supreme Court in setting the record straight on the definition of “woman” and “sex” as that pertaining to biological forms.

President Donald Trump in his effort to end LGBTQ delusion in the United States where this delusion was birthed through Executive No. 4156 of 2025, is commendable.

Thank you, please follow us on our social media handles.

**NOTE:** The Author, **Cyprian F. Edward-Ekpo** can be reached via electronic means (Email) [cyprian.edward@uniserl.education](mailto:cyprian.edward@uniserl.education) ; or, [cyprian.edward@ilawdun.us](mailto:cyprian.edward@ilawdun.us) ; Or, [cyprian.edward@isherl.org](mailto:cyprian.edward@isherl.org) ; Or, [cyprian.edward@multi-intelligence.com](mailto:cyprian.edward@multi-intelligence.com)